H & 245
30/12/36

1935-36
(CS)

App. F. IX-4

File No. 67/35
Serial Nos. 34 4

*Previous References*
Sanc. 91-29-148, 16
Sanc. 91-29-13, 18
P.H. 14-33-10, 21
P.H. 22-34-10, 16, 17, 18, 4
CS 67-35-142
*Letter References.*
CS 193-37-142
CS 179-38-142

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 34 4

**Part B for** April 1936

**SUBJECT.**

Representation of Dr. C.V. Vattamajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute, for increased emoluments.

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

fixing the Pay of Dr C.V. Nalarajan in the revised grade

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 193 .

[App. F. III-2

Letter no. 226/G&A dated 21st Nov. 1935 from the Comptroller & post stating that the initial salary of Dr C.V. Nalarajan, Supt. P.H. Institute at Rs 450/- in the revised grade from 23.9.35.

Subd

Dr C.V. Nalarajan was appointed as a Second Class Health officer with effect from 22.10.1929 and was started on a pay of Rs 260/- in the grade Rs 200-20-400. He was appointed as acting Superintendent, Public Health Institute, from 1.4.1930. and given an acting allowance of Rs 50/- p.m. He was confirmed in that appointment and given a Consolidated pay of Rs 400/- p.m. [G.O. No 6321/P.H. 14-33-21 dated 15th March 1934]

Subsequently, Mr Iswara Moorthi, Supt. Vaccine Institute, was Confirmed as Superintendent in the grade Rs 400-50/2-700 and Dr Nalarajan was treated as having a substantive lien on a post in the grade of Rs 200-400 on a permanent pay of Rs 400/- and as acting in the higher grade of Rs 400-50/2-700 vice Mr P. Parthasarathi. He was eventually Confirmed in the Grade Rs 400-50/2-700 with effect from 23rd Sep. 1935.

Dr. Nalarajan has drawn salary at the following rates,

From 22-10-30 .... Rs 280 + A.A. 80.
From 22-10-31 .... Rs 300 + A.A. 86
From 22-10-32 .... Rs 320 + A.A. 80.
From 22-10-33 .... Rs 340 + A.A. 60
From 15.3.34 .... Rs 400/- (Consolidated).

NOTE--Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

It is therefore seen that he has been drawing a salary (Pay + acting allowance) of Rs 400/- from 22.10.32. As he has drawn a salary of Rs 400/- for over two years, he is entitled to draw a salary of Rs 450/- in the revised grade Rs 400 - 50/2 - 700. The Comptroller proposes that he may be permitted to draw a salary of Rs 450/- from 23.9.1935 i.e. the date of confirmation in the higher grade. Submitted for orders.

K.M.R
27.11.35

The above note shows that Mr Natarajan has been occupying the post of Superintendent P.H. Institute continuously from 1.4.1930, against a graded appointment on Rs 400-700. He was treated as actually acting in this grade from 1.4.30 to 14.3.34, and from 15.3.34 he was confirmed in the appointment of the Supdt P.H. Institute on a consolidated pay of Rs 400 (without actually giving him at the same time the grade in which a vacancy was available.) He has since been actually placed in the 400 - 700 grade and confirmed in that grade (400 - 50/2 - 700) from 23.9.35.

The Comptroller now intimates that as the officer has drawn Rs 400 in the grade (originally 400-30-700 since changed to 400-50/2-700) for over 2 years, his pay will be fixed at Rs 450 in revised grade

Serial No.

from the date of confirmation, viz 23.9.35, in accordance with the general principles laid down in G.O.No.7(628-87d 23.7.37

Submitted

30/11/35
Asst Secy (Health)

As pointed out by the Dept in his note above Dr C.V.Nair rajan was confirmed from 15.3.34 on a fixed pay of Rs 400/- without any prospect. Only it is for consideration whether the period when he acted on Rs 400/- prior to his date should be counted for increment. Again Dr. C.V.N. has been actually placed in the 400-700 grade & confirmed from 23.6.35 - the audit office has written to say that Dr C.V.N's pay will be fixed at Rs 450/- in the revised grade with effect from 23.9.35.

Jt Secy may be consulted.

2/12/35

Gen: Secy) Yes - Ind 3/12

3/12

Jt Secy)

7.12.35

GENERAL DEPT. 3- DEC. 1935 5-2

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT U.O.No.Fl.1290 4. DEC. 1935 BANGALORE

Contn. Sheet

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

File No. 710 of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Fl 1290

I do not think that the Comptroller is correct in taking into account the service from 15th March 1934 on a consolidated pay of Rs 400 (without any grade) for the calculation of increments in grade Rs 400-50/2-700. Government were deliberately of opinion that he should be given only a fixed pay of Rs 400 (File No. P.H. [redacted]4-33-21). His total service in the department is only 8 years and men with similar service in the Medical Department are getting only about Rs 200/- -- Messrs C. Krishnaswami Rao Seshachallam, N. A. Iyengar, with 18 years', 17 years' and 12 years' service are getting a pay of only Rs 400/- Rs 400/- and Rs 360/-

He may therefore count service for increment only from the date on which he has been formally put into the incremental grade in G.O.No. G. 921-12/P.H. 22-34-17 dated 31st July/ 2nd August 1935.

9-12-35

General Secy's order

Please
put up the
other papers
since rec'd

11/12/35

306

Representation of Mr C V Natarajan praying for the grant of higher pay. (1)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. P | of 193 | 2917 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

From the Director of Health
No C. 801-4 g. 4-12-35

Forwards for favourable consideration a ~~letter~~ representation received from Mr Mr C. V. Natarajan, Supdt, Public Health Institute, praying for the granting a higher start in the grade (Rs 400-$\frac{50}{2}$-700)

1) Subd: As the grade sanctioned to Dr Natarajan ~~fixed~~ rises to more that Rs 500 & as the order sanctioning his confirmation was issued in the confidential Branch, the papr (may also be) will be transferred there, if approved.

Sep CB
6.12.35

2) Yes
6/12/35

C B

3) The ~~letter~~ enclosures to the letter of the Supdt. P.H. Institute — containing the opinion of the Audit office — has not been ~~sent~~ received with the Director's letter. If approved, this will be obtained.

A

~~There is no other file in which~~ the Audit office has said that his pay on confirmation would be fixed at Rs 450 ~~This~~ This has been referred to Fin. Secy. ~~If approved, reply will be got back and worked up with this~~ A - yes please

4) 7/12/35

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Enclosures received 10/12/35

Secy (Ad Secy) CGA 7.12.35

Serial No.

Representation of Dr. C.V. Natarajan.

5) The gist of Dr. Natarajan's representation is that there has been a miscalculation in the authorisation slips issued to him by the Comptroller in respect of his salary as acting Superintendent prior to his confirmation in March 1934, and that this has caused him some loss in emoluments during that period, and also affected the fixing of his pay on confirmation. His reasons for this claim are fully set forth in his representation and the letter addressed by him to the Comptroller a copy of which has been enclosed with the representation.

7e R

A

6) Dr. Natarajan was appointed, from 1st April 1930, as acting Superintendent, Public Health Institute, a post which then carried a pay of Rs.400-30-700. He was at that time a permanent II Class Health Officer, drawing Rs.260/- (from 22-10-1929) in grade 200-20-400, and it was ordered that he be "treated as acting Superintendent of the P.H. Institute and granted an acting allowance of Rs.80/- per mensem in addition to his pay in grade 200-20-400". In pursuance of the above order, the Audit Office appears to have allowed him salary as under, in his acting appointment :—

Notifn 26.3.30 H.

G.O.d. 11.6.30 7e D

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1- 4-1930 | Rs. | 260 plus | 80 A.A. ✓ |
| 22-10-1930 | " | 280 " | 80 A.A. ✓ |
| 1- 4-1931 | " | 280 " | 86 A.A. ✓ |
| 22-10-1931 | " | 300 " | 86 A.A. ✓ |
| 1- 4-1932 | " | 300 " | 86 A.A. ✓ |
| 22-10-1932 | " | 320 " | 80 A.A. ✓ |
| 1- 4-1933 | " | 320 " | 80 A.A. ✓ |
| 22-10-1933. | " | 340 " | 60 A.A. ✓ |

As gathered from the Civil List. (Subject to verification)

Acting allowance at Rs.86 (1/5th of Rs.430) was allowed by the Comptroller from 1st April 1931, apparently on the



WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

basis that the case is governed by Article 82 (c) of the M.S.R. On this basis, further increase of acting allowance to Rs.92/- and Rs.98/- would seem to accrue on 1st April 1932 and 1st April 1933, though these increases could not be allowed to be drawn at that time in view of the economy orders that were in force between 1st July 1931 and 30th June 1933. It seems, however, to have been permissible to allow acting allowance at Rs.98/- to be drawn from 1st July 1933. The Audit Office seems to have, however, applied a further restriction of restricting the total emoluments to the minimum pay (Rs.400) of the higher graded appointment when the officer had already acted for some years in it, and it is not clear under what provision this was done.

7) Dr. Natarajan's representation is that, under the ordinary rules, he was eligible to draw higher emoluments as under:-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 22-10-1932 | Rs. 320 plus 86 (x) | (against Rs.80 allowed) |
| 1- 7-1933 | " 320 plus 98 (b) | (against Rs.80 allowed) |
| 22-10-1933. | " 340 plus 98 | (against Rs.60 allowed) |

(x) increment allowed prior to 1.7.33
(b) In view of withdrawal of economy restrictions re: increments

In reply to his communication to the Comptroller bringing to notice the above claim, the Comptroller while stating that ".....salary with increments could be allowed from 1st July 1933", has added that in view of the later order confirming him as Superintendent and fixing his salary at Rs.400 (consolidated) from 15th March 1934, salary in excess of Rs.400 could not be allowed now in respect of the period prior to that date, except under special orders of Government. 7f A 7f B

Dr. Natarajan infers from this, that the correctness of his claim is conceded by the Audit Office, and requests sanction for his drawing the full emoluments which he considers to be admissible for the acting period.

Dr C.V. Natarajan's representation

886 (V)



Serial No.

Dr. Natarajan further represents that in fixing his pay on confirmation at Rs.400(consolidated) from 15th March 1934, Government took into account the fact that his acting salary at that time was (incorrectly) shown as Rs.400 only, and that if Government were aware that he was ~~already~~ entitled to draw Rs.438/- from 22nd October 1933 and Rs.444 from 1st April 1934 in an acting capacity, Government would have graciously fixed his permanent pay at a higher figure than Rs.400.

(Vide linked file) 8) The Comptroller himself has separately sent a proposal that the officer's pay would be fixed at Rs.450/- from 23rd September 1935 (on which date he was confirmed in the grade of Rs.400-50/2-700), but the Financial Secretary has pointed out that the Comptroller's interpretation in that behalf is not correct. Vide discussions in the linked file.

9) The points for orders are:-

(1) Question of permitting Dr. Natarajan to draw any higher emoluments that may be admissible under the rules, in respect of the acting period prior to 15th March 1934.

(2) Pay to be allowed subsequent to that date.

Financial Secretary may perhaps be consulted. (The audit office may, if necessary, be also asked to verify the ~~correctness of the~~ claim)

Submitted

CJA 14-12-35

10) Fe Secy may be consulted.

Asst Secy (Health)

16/12/35

GENERAL RECORD BRANCH 15 DEC. 1935 650

Gen Secy

Fe Secy

19/12

19/12

19.12.35

Fe 1437

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

G/14/35

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

(5)

File No. 836 of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

11) The Comptroller may be asked to verify the claim and also the salary allowed to him from time to time as noted in this office note. He may also be asked to explain the circumstances under which acting allowance of Rs. 86 was allowed for certain periods and the same acting allowance was not allowed from 22nd October 1932. In the absence of a specific order promoting Dr. Natarajan to the grade 400-30-700, the Audit Office, is I think, not correct in allowing an acting allowance in excess of Rs. 80.

Fr 1437

Fr 20/12

20/12/35.

12) Forwarded to with compliments to the Comptroller & Govt for favour of verification of the claim and elucidation of the point raised in the Secy's note above.

M. Venkatesalyengar
23-12-35 Spl Secy

No No. 18-35/43
& 24-12-35

Early.
G.A.O.

24/12/35

Serial No.

U.O.No.282/GAD dated 16-1-1936

G.O. S 85/4pl 17-1-36

Returned with compliments.

13) The claim to the higher rate of salary as Ag.Superintendent, Public Health Institute from time to time as noted by Mr. C.V.Natarajan in his letter dated 26-11-35 to Government and the salary allowed to him from time to time as noted in the Secretariat Note are verified and found correct.

14) When the question as to whether Mr. Natarajan would be entitled to Acting or Charge Allowance for his duties as Superintendent of the Public Health Institute was raised by the Director of Health, Government in their order No.(Camp) 5-6/Sany.91-29 dated 11-6-30 directed that Mr. Natarajan be treated as Acting Superintendent of the Public Health Institute, Bangalore, and given an acting allowance of Rs 80/- per mensem (i.e., 1/5 of the minimum of the grade of pay of the post) in addition to his substantive pay in the grade 200-20-400. Since the grade pay of the appointment of the Superintendent Public Health Institute had then been fixed at Rs 400-30-700 and Mr. Natarajan was acting in that appointment, he was allowed an acting allowance of Rs 86/- in addition to his substantive pay from 1-4-31 under the provisions of Art. 82(c) M.S.R.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 20 JAN. 1936

15) From 22-10-32 he got an increment in his substantive appointment raising his pay to Rs 320/- and he could have been



WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

(1) CB 836 Representation of Dr Natarajan

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

been allowed to draw salary at Rs 406 (Rs 320 plus A.A.86) from that date. But he was allowed to draw only Rs 400/- (Rs 320 plus A.A.80) under the misapprehension that the salary of acting officers should not exceed the minimum pay of the appointment in which they act under the economy order, though this restriction applied only to officers appointed subsequent to 14-9-31 and was not applicable to this officer.

A Rajamannar
Comptroller

CV

16) The Comptroller admits the correctness of the claim made in Dr Natarajan's letter d. 26.11.1935, for higher acting ~~allowances~~ salary as summarised below:-

| Date | Salary allowed to be drawn by Audit Offce | Salary as now claimed & verified by the Audit Offce |
|---|---|---|
| | Rs | Rs |
| 22-10-32 | 320 + 80 | 320 + 86 |
| 1-7-33 | 320 + 80 | 320 + 98 |
| 22-10-33 | 340 + 60 | 340 + 98 |

The points for orders are:-

(i) Question of allowing any higher emoluments to be drawn in respect of the acting period, in virtue of on the above ~~basis~~ (upto 14.3.34)

(ii) Question of pay to be allowed on confirmation in the light of higher emoluments, if any, agreed to during acting (Vide also linked file on this subject).

17.) The papers will, if approved, be re-submitted to Fin. Secy. with reference to his minute d. 20.12.35. para 11 above.

Submd
CA 20/1/36
Accepted

Gen: Secy 18 Yes and 21/1/36

Report of Dr C.V. Natarajan

Cos 836

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

27/1/36

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT U.O.No.Fi.101 27 JAN 1936 BANGALORE.

19) The two points for consideration are:-

(1) whether Dr. Natarajan was entitled to draw salary as Offg. Superintendent in the grade 400-30-700 prior to March 1934 and whether he was entitled to draw an acting allowance higher than Rs 80/- sanctioned in G.O.No. G.5-6/Sany. dated 11th June 1930;

(2) if (1) is conceded, whether his confirmation in March 1934 on Rs 400/- (fixed) was in order, and whether he would not have been entitled to a higher initial pay from the date of confirmation in accordance with M.S.R.

20) Regarding (1) both the Comptroller and the officer admit that they have made mistakes (1) the former by not having authorised further acting increments in the grade Rs 400-30-700 from April 1932 when he authorised the first increment, and (ii) the latter by not having claimed these increments in 1932 and having raised the question now after three years.

22) Kind reference is invited to the discussions in File Sany. 91-29-18 fixing the acting allowance of Dr. Natarajan at Rs 80/-. It will be seen from this file that the Director of Health sought the orders of

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

Government as to whether Dr. Natarajan would be entitled to any acting or charge allowance for duty as Superintendent, Public Health Institute. Government directed that he might be treated as acting Superintendent of the Public Health Institute and granted an acting allowance of Rs 80/- per mensem in addition to his pay in the grade 200-20-400, the other alternative that was under consideration being that he should continue to do the work on his own substantive pay as Health Officer. This order fixed the acting allowance at Rs 80/- in addition to his permanent pay and did not lay down that the officer was promoted on an officiating basis to the grade Rs 400-30-700, and I still think that the Audit Office was not in order in having allowed an acting allowance of Rs 86/- from 1st April 1931 (in excess of Rs 80/- fixed by Government) without specific orders of Government.

23) In November 1933, the Director of Health reported that Dr. Natarajan who was in acting chafge of the P.H.Institute Superintendent's place was drawing a pay of Rs 340 plus acting allowance of Rs 60/- and recommended that Dr. Natarajan might be made S.P.T. Superintendent in grade Rs 400-30-700 from 1st January 1934 and given a starting pay of Rs 400 in that grade. It was decided by the Council that Dr. Natarajan might be confirmed as Superintendent, P.H.Institute and given

Serial No.

a consolidated pay of Rs 400/- per mensem and orders were issued by Government accordingly in March 1934. This order is quite clear and the Audit Office was not correct in taking into account the service from this date for purposes of increment in the grade Rs 400 - 700.

24) The contention of Dr. Natarajan is that if he had been allowed to draw salary admissible as Offg. Superintendent in the grade Rs 400-30-700 prior to confirmation, he would be drawing a salary of Rs 438 at the time of confirmation and Government would have allowed him a higher start after confirmation. Prior to confirmation, he had never drawn more than Rs 400/- and the confirmation on Rs 400 cannot be regarded as unfair. Even if he had been drawing a higher offg. salary, there would be nothing wrong in having confirmed him on a slightly lower pay. Offg. arrangements are some times made in the exigencies of service without reference to seniority and an officiating officer has no vested right to the higher place. He may or may not be selected to it when it is permanently filled up. Rs 400/- was deliberately fixed by Government as his substantive pay.

25) For reasons stated above, my view contained in my note dated 9th December 1935 in the linked file,



WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

(11)

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

viz., that Dr. Natarajan should be regarded as holding the graded post of 400-50/2-700 from the date on which he was formally put into this grade in G.O.No. G.M21-2/P.H.22-34-17 dated 31st July 1935/2nd August 1935, therefore still stands. Both the requests made by him viz., to be given acting increments prior to March 1934 and higher initial pay from March 1934 are inadmissible.

(Draft approved by Fl. Secretary).

Fl 1702

GENERAL BRE... 12 FEB. 1936

11-2-36.

13/2/36

26) Subd- for perusal. The case is fully stated in the Office notes paragraphs 5-9. When Dr Natarajan was first appointed to act as Superintendent Director of the Public Health Institute there was apparently no idea of giving him the grade that appointment. It was therefore agreed that he should get the pay of his own grade 200-400 and an allowance of Rs 80/- The Comptroller was therefore not right, as has been observed by the Fl Secy, in raising the allowance to Rs 86 next year assuming that the grade the appointment had been given to him

Serial No.

and that the allce should be ½ of the next grade pay Rs 430/- When later Dr Natarajan reached Rs 340 in his own grade the Comptroller restored his allce to Rs 60/- This for was unauthorised for it was not stated that his pay and allce should not exceed Rs 400 but it was perhaps right enough as the allce had been fixed at Rs 80 and the pay of the appt might fairly be taken as four times this amount. The Comptroller however ought to have asked for orders. Even if he did not ask for orders it was open to Dr Natarajan to ask for the continuance of the allce at Rs 80. This he failed to do. Dr Natarajan was later confirmed as Director and at that time he was given a consolidated pay of Rs 400/- He was then drawing Rs 340 as his pay in the revised grade 200-400 and the allce at Rs 60 as passed by the Comptr. If the Comptroller had not reduced the allce he would have been getting Rs 420. Dr Natarajan says that if he had been drawing this salary his consolidated pay



WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

on confirmation would have been fixed higher. It is difficult to say if this would have been done but it is not impossible that the considered pay may have been fixed at the amount which he actually was drawing. Dr Natarajan asks to be permitted to draw the Ag allowance of Rs 80 in full for the Ag period and pleas that his pay in the grade on a fresh scale be fixed higher than the minimum as start.

27) Dr Natarajan might have asked for the higher scale being continued as soon as it was revised by the Comptr. It does not appear however that he thought the revision unproper. Or perhaps it did not occur to him to ask why he should not get more than Rs 400. Though strictly it would not be right to admit old claims of this kind in which the officer himself has been indifferent, it would not be incorrect and would

(11e)



Serial No.

perhaps be only fair to admit the claim when made and let him take what was denied in error. But it has to be clear that the denial was in error. This is not clear. In this case what orders would have passed if the point had been raised we do not know now. Similarly it is difficult to say that if the Comptroller had not reduced the Allce of Dr Nalayan had been drawing Rs 420 at the time of confirmation his consolidated pay would have been fixed at a higher figure than Rs 400. The trend of the notes does not support the view. Strictly speaking therefore both claims are based on what is believed as likely to have happened and on this account to be treated as inadmissible.

28) The case however calls for some consideration on account of the Comptroller having taken the decision into his own hands and the possible loss to Dr Nalayan may perhaps be made good by giving him his first increment in the scale 400-$\frac{50}{2}$-700 some time in advance of the date on which it has fallen due under present conditions

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Representation of Dr Natarajan Supdt. P.H. Institute

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

It may be by a year or nine months as Govt may be pleased to order in view of his having lost Rs 20 p.m. a year and a possible Rs 20 or 30 for some time longer.

Hud
20/2

Second Member

I shall be glad to hear
to Mr Ogle Secy about this case

Hud
29/2/36.

Read the Member's instructions to discuss the case with the H Secy and did this.

In view of the fact that it was not clear in the Govt of 1930 that the allowance was fixed and had no reference to the pay and as Dr Natarajan had been drawing Rs 400 from March 34 for a year and four months before being given the scale 400-$\frac{50}{2}$-700 he may be given his first increment in the new grade six months earlier

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 28 FEB 1936 NO 6595

83

Representation of Natarajan (15)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

2073

than he will get it in the usual course. This is fair enough consideration as he will get all later increments also six months earlier than in the usual course. He began to act in the grade from 28/5/35. from which date he Partha Sarathy was on sick. He may get his increment from 28/11/36. The above may be appd

29/2

Seed member

Thro Secy

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT U.O.No. Fi. 2073 1 MAR 1936 RE.

The financial aspect of the case has been indicated in my note dated 11th February 1936. What has been suggested by the General Secretary is a special concession and this is for the orders of Government.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 4 MAR 1936 No. 6750

2/3

3/3

The allowance given to Mr Natarajan should have been consistently treated either as a fixed allowance or as an acting allowance subject to increase with each increment raised in the acting appointment. But on each occasion, a different view was taken to the prejudice

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

(2) CB E3b

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

of the Officer. As it is not now possible to make amends retrospectively the small concession proposed in Mr Eyre's note may be sanctioned

Council matter — MW

For approval and orders for 8/3/36
reply by circulation:

DE 'S OFFICE
1936
No. 5484

MW 9/3/36

Dewar)

JM 9/3

Ch Secy with Compliments for favr. of further action

MW 4/3

C.S. circulate MW 4/3

For Disposal by Circulation.

No. C. 220

7 1936-36

DEWAN'S OFFICE
18 MAR 1936
No. 5664

**GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.**

**Council Matter.**

*Subject*

Question of permitting Dr. C. V. Natarajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute, to draw his next increment in the grade Rs.400-50/2-700, six months in advance of the due date, i.e. from 28th November 1936.

*Circulated to the Members of Council.*

By Order,

10th March 1936.

*Chief Secretary to Government.*

**First Member of Council.** (Seen)

19. 3. 36

**Second Member of Council.** (Seen)

Fin: Secy for favour of Concurrence.
10-3-36

1048
1/3 For favour of F. M. S. N. put up.
2nd member
CEO 18/3 F. M. signed by Member (Dewan)

18-3-36 16/3
11-3-36

**Final Note by the Second Member of Council.**

***Subject:—***

Representation of Dr. C.V. Natarajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute, for increased emoluments.

These papers relate to the representation of Dr. C.V. Natarajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute, regarding his emoluments.

In March 1930 Dr. Natarajan, who was then a permanent Health Officer, on Rs.260 in grade Rs.200-20-400, was appointed to act as Superintendent, Public Health Institute, which then carried a pay of Rs.400-30-700 and was given an acting allowance of Rs.80 per mensem in addition to his substantive pay. He was confirmed as Superintendent in March 1934 on a consolidated pay of Rs.400 (without the grade) and was placed in the revised grade of Rs.400-50/2-700 with effect from 23rd September 1935.

Dr. Natarajan has represented that as acting Superintendent he was allowed salary at a lower figure than was admissible under Art.82(c) of the Mysore Service Regulations. He requests that he may be permitted to draw the full emoluments to which he was entitled in respect of the acting period and that his pay as permanent Superintendent from 15th March 1934 may be suitably enhanced consistently with Art.84(b) of the Mysore Service Regulations.

Pages 6 & 7 & Minutes

The Comptroller states that, in addition to Dr.Natarajan's substantive pay, he was allowed an acting allowance of Rs.80 from 1st April 1930 to 31st March 1931 and an acting allowance of Rs.86 from 1st April 1931 to 21st October 1932 under the provisions of Art.82(c). He adds that the allowance was reduced to Rs.80 from 22nd October 1932 and to Rs.60 from 22nd October 1933 under the misapprehension that his salary should not exceed the minimum pay of the appointment in which he acted under the

economy order, though this restriction was not applicable to him.

Pages 8 & 11 of Finance

The Financial Secretary is of opinion that the claim of Dr. Natarajan to draw an acting allowance under Art.82(c) is inadmissible as the Government Order of 1930 fixed the allowance at Rs.80 in addition to his permanent pay and did not lay down that the officer was promoted on an officiating basis to the grade Rs. 400-30-700.

The allowance given to Dr. Natarajan should have been consistently treated either as a fixed allowance or an acting allowance subject to increase with each increment earned in the acting appointment. But on each occasion a different view was taken to the prejudice of the officer. It is not now possible to make amends retrospectively. The case, however, calls for some consideration. The officer's increment raising his pay in the grade Rs.400-50/2-700 to Rs.450 is due on 28th May 1937. In view of the fact that it was not clear in the Government Order of 1930 that the acting allowance was fixed and had no reference to the grade to which he was appointed to act and as he had been drawing Rs.400 from March 1934 for a year and four months before being given the grade Rs.400-50/2-700, Dr. Natarajan may be allowed his first increment in the grade six months earlier, i.e., from 28th November 1936.

Page 17 of Finance

The First Member of Council(in charge of the duties of the Dewan) and myself are agreeable to the above proposal which is submitted to His Highness for gracious sanction.

M. Rama Rau

EMBER OF COUNCIL.

Bangalore,
18th March 1936.

Submitted to His Highness.

*Member of Council* re: representation of Dr. C. V. Natarajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute, for increased emoluments,

*for His Highness' gracious approval.*

Mirza M. Ismail

*Dewan.*

19- 3- 36

**Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.**

## *Subject.*

Representation of Dr. C.V. Natarajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute, for increased emoluments.

22.3.36

484

## *Order.*

~~KRW~~
21/3/36

23-3-36

General and Revenue
Secretariat

C·220.

SUBJECT:- Representation of Dr C.V. Nalarajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute. for increased emoluments

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at Head-quarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/~~after~~ issue of orders. (*Vide Rules* 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

*Chief Secretary to Government.*

Through the Secretary to Government,

General Department. 23/3

6892
25.3.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 25/3

7395
28/3

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 28/3/36

Gen. Secy with Compliments.
28-3-36
28/3

CB

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G.O. 836

Re/presentation of Dr. C. V. Narayana

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Draft G.O. herewith

GENERAL 2-APR.1936 SECTION

Dem 30/3 GPA 2.4.36

Issue after perusal by Flsecy.

Dno 2/4

Submd to H. Lees GPA 4/4/36

Seen - I think however that the G.O. may be much briefer and not enter into so many arguments.

4/4/36

GO 6/4/36.

This is true - I have struck out some sentences. I think it is all right now. Issue

Dno 7/4 8/4

H. case 1692 Draft and fair here.

88W 8/4

Issue GO 8/4/36.

G.O. No. 2179-80 & 8.4.36 to the Commissioner & Govt. Also the Secy. Directs that Dr. Narayana be allowed to draw his first increment

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

**GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.**

C.B. 710
25.67-35-

In reply please quote reference to No. 226/GAD

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, BANGALORE,
*Dated the* 21st November *1935*

M. Venkatesa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

25 NOV. C.B.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No.996/C.B.67-35-2 dated 31st October 35 confirming Dr. C.V. Natarajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute in the grade Rs 400-50/2-700 with effect from 23rd September 1935, I have the honor to state that since Dr. Natarajan has drawn a salary of Rs 400/- as acting Superintendent both in the old grade of Rs 400-30-700 and in the revised grade of Rs 400-50/2-700 for not less than 2 years, his initial salary will be fixed at Rs 450/- in the revised grade with effect from the date of confirmation i.e., 23rd September 1935 in accordance with para 2 (2)(a) of Government Order No.Fl.628-87/S&A 23-34-1 dated 23rd July 1934

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Director of Health.

Telephone No. 340

Telegram: HEALTH

No. C. 801-4

Encl. Bills in original

CB 886

CS 67-11-3

GENL. & MISC. BRANCH. 2947 16. DEC. 1935 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

"GRACE VILLE," PALACE ROAD,

*Bangalore (S. India), dated...*December 4,.....*193* 5

FROM P. Parthasarathy, Esq., LMS., BSSc., LRCP&S., DPH., DTM.,

*Director of Health with the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.*

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 5 DEC. 1935

TO M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
General Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith a letter received from the Superintendent, Public Health Institute, addressed to the General Secretary to Government through the Director of Health in Mysore for favourable consideration.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Director of Health.

*Telephone No. 334*

**No. P. H. I.** .....

**Enc.** .....

**OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT, PUBLIC HEALTH INSTITUTE,**

*Bangalore, dated* 26th November *193*5.

FROM

C. V. NATARAJAN, ESQ., B.Sc., M.B.B.S., Dr. P.H.,
*Ag. Superintendent,*
*Public Health Institute, Bangalore.*

To

The Secretary to Government,
General Department, Bangalore.

THRO: The Director of Health in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I beg to submit herewith for kind information a copy of my letter to the Comptroller to Government, and of his reply thereto, with reference to the emoluments that were authorised by the Audit office to be drawn by me as acting Superintendent of the Public Health Institute.

I was appointed to act as Superintendent from 1.4.1930 in the grade of Rs 400-30-700, and was confirmed in that appointment in March 1934 on a consolidated pay of Rs 400/-. On an examination of the authorisation slips issued by the Comptroller regarding my salary as Acting Superintendent from time to time, I find that in some cases the emoluments that were admissible to me, even under the economy orders, were not fully authorised by the Audit office, as indicated below:-

| Date. | Substantive pay as Health Officer. | Ag.allowance actually authorised by the Comptroller. | Ag.allowance that was admissible under the ordinary rules in force at the time |
|---|---|---|---|
| 22.10.32. | 320/- | 80/- | Rs86/-(which I was previously drawing.) |
| 1.7.33 | 320/- | 80/- | Rs 98/-(in view of the withdrawal of the restrictions on the drawing of increments that had been earned in the acting appointment |

but not allowed to be drawn till that date).

22.10.33 - 340/- 60/- Rs 98/-

I am sorry I did not notice the discrepancy till a few months back, when I made a reference to the Audit Office requesting them to verify if my calculations were correct and if so to issue a revised authorisation slip allowing the difference in emoluments to be drawn. The reply of the Comptroller appears to concede the correctness of my claim, but in view of the later order dated 15th March 1934 confirming me on Rs 400/- he says he could not allow me to draw the difference without orders of Government.

In submitting the matter for the kind orders of Government, I beg to state that what I claimed in my letter to the Comptroller was only the emoluments which ought to have been allowed by the Audit office to be drawn by me as a matter of course under the ordinary rules and did not ask for any concession requiring orders of Government. I believe I was entitled to draw a salary of Rs 438/- from 22.10.1933 and Rs 444/- from 1.4.34 even had I continued to be merely acting as against Rs 400/- which was actually allowed by the Audit office at the time.

I beg respectfully to urge that the Government Order fixing my pay at Rs 400/- on confirmation was based on the fact that my emoluments as acting Superintendent were shown as Rs400/- and I have no doubt Government would have graciously allowed me a higher start had they been aware that as acting Superintendent I would have been entitled to Rs 444/- from 1.4.34.

In the forgoing circumstances, I shall be thankful if (i)the Comptroller is authorised to allow me to draw the full emoluments I was entitled to in respect of the acting period as indicated above and in my letter to the Comptroller, and (ii) my pay as permanent Superintendent from 15.3.1934 be also enhanced to such figure as Government may deem reasonable under the circumstances

I beg to state that I have worked for over 5½ years in the grade of Rs 400-700 or against it and any higher start in the grade will be a very

very great encouragement to me.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. R. Rangaiyar

Superintendent,
Public Health Institute, Bangalore.

TSR/3

Col. of letter No.302 dated 24th September 1935 from the Superintendent, Public Health Institute, Bangalore, to the Comptroller to Government of Mysore, Bangalore

I have the honour to state that on an examination of the authorisation slips Nos.40 dated 24.10.1932 and 146/G.A.D. dated 25.10.1933 issued to me by the Audit office, from time to time, regarding my salary as acting Superintendent, Public Health Institute, I feel that I have been actually allowed to draw between April 1932 and March 1934 lower emoluments than what I was entitled to under the rules as explained below. I was appointed to act as Superintendent Public Health Institute (400-30-700) with effect from 1.4.1930, when my substantive pay was Rs 260/- in grade 200-20-400 with annual increments due on 22nd October of each year.

Two circumstances which affected the fixing of emoluments of officers acting in progressive appointments during the period in question, were:-

1. The economy orders of 1931 under which the grant of future increments to acting officers was suspended.
2. The subsequent order of 1933 withdrawing the above prohibition and allowing officers to draw increments from 1.7.1933 counting for that purpose the previous periods served in the grade.

I note below the emoluments that I was actually permitted to draw, from time to time, by the Audit Office, and the emoluments which I believe I was entitled to draw under the rules:-

| Date. | Emoluments allowed by audit office. Substantive pay. | | Acting allowance. | Emoluments that I claim to be entitled to. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.4.1930 | Rs260/- | plus | Ps80 | - |
| 22.10.1930 | 280/- | " | 80 | - |
| 1.4.1931 | 280/- | " | 86 | - |
| 22.10.1931 | 300/- | " | 86 | -(Increase of acting allowance to Ps 92/- accruing from this date, not permitted to be drawn under economy orders.) |
| 1.4. 1932. | 300 | " | 86 | |
| 22.10.1932. | 320 | " | 80 | Rs320/-plus 86. The acting allowance of Ps 86 may have been continued, as only future increments in acting posts seem to have been prohibited. |
| 1.4.1933 | 320/- | " | 80 | Rs320/- plus 86.Increase of acting allowance to Ps 98 accruing from this date not permitted to be drawn under economy orders. |
| 1.7.1933 | 320/- | " | 80/- | Rs320/- plus 98.This was admissible in view of withdrawal of restrictions from 1.7.1933. |
| 22.10.1933 | 340/- | " | 60/- | 340 plus 98. - Do - |

The emoluments claimed above are what I believe the Audit office could allow of their own accord under the ordinary application of the standing orders, and no special concession has been asked. I whould be thankful

Copy of letter No.GAD.227 dated 20th November 1935 from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, to Dr.C.V.Natarajan,B.Sc.,M.B.B.S.,Dr.P.H. Superintendent, Public Health Institute.Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.302 dated 24.9.1935, I have the honour to state that under economy orders no increases in the acting allowances were permissible to officers acting in progressive appointments from 14.9.31 to 30.6.1933. The acting service would, however, count for purposes of increments; and salary with increments could be allowed from 1.7.33, consequent on the removal of the restrictions imposed under economy orders from that date. But in view of G.O.No.G.6321/P.H.14-33-21 dated 15.3.34 fixing your salary at Rs 400/-(consolidated) when you were confirmed as Superintendent P.H.Institute in the ~~fixrst~~ first instance, salary in excess of Rs.400/- for the period prior to 15.3.34 cannot be allowed now,except under special orders of Government.

---

" Copy"

C.V.Natarajan

Superintendent,
Public Health Institute.

1320 CS D 7/14/35

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,

P. Parthasarathy, Esq., LMS., B.S.Sc., L.R.C.P. & S., D.P.H., D.T.M.,

Director of Health in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No. C. 801-4 dated the 4th instant forwarding a representation from Dr. C. V. Natarajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute, I am directed to request that the enclosures referrred in the Officer's representation ( copy of his letter to Comptroller and the letter's reply) may also be kindly sent for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

7/12

General Secretary to Government.

For approval

9/12/35
Asst Secy (Health)

7/12

CB 800
Urgent

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH**

CB

193

FROM, P.Parthasarathy,Esq.,LMS.,
BSSc.,LRCP&S. D.P.H. (LOND.), DTM.,
*Director of Health in Mysore,*
*Bangalore.*

To,

M.Venkatesa Iyengar,Esq.,M.A.,
General Secretary to Government
B a n g a l o r e.

GENERAL DEPARTMENT
9 DEC. 1935
C.B.

C.B. 10/12

Dated December 9, 1935.

Despatched

Received

General No. C 801-6.

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

Ref. No. 1320/C.B. dated 7th December 1935.

I have the honour to forward herewith the enclosu referred to in the representation of Dr.C.V.Natarajan, Superintendent, Public Health Institute, as desired.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
P. Parthasarathy
Director of Health.

BLVS

Representation of Dr. C.V Natarajan

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

1. Govt. Notification No. 11593/Sany.91-29-2 dated 26th March 1930 posting Dr. C.V. Natarajan, Health Officer, for duty as Superintendent, Public Health Institute.

2. Government Order No. (Camp) 5-6/Sany.91-29-18 dated 11th June 1930 directing that Dr. Natarajan be treated as acting Superintendent, Public Health Institute, and granted an acting allowance of Rs.80/- per mensem in addition to his pay in the grade Rs.200-20-400.

3. Government Notification No. G.6321/P.H. 14-33-21 dated 15th March 1934 confirming Dr. Natarajan as Superintendent, Public Health Institute and Chemical Examiner to Government and Memo No. G.6322 of the same date to the Comptroller intimating that Dr. Natarajan will in that office be permitted to draw a consolidated pay of Rs. 400/- per mensem.

4. G.O. No. G.921-2/P.H. 22-34-17 dated 2nd August 1935 directing that Dr.Natarajan be treated as having a substantive lien on a post in the grade 200-~~80~~-400 on a permanent pay of Rs. 400, and as acting in the higher grade of Rs.400-50/2-700 vice Mr.P.Parthasarathi on special duty and later on acting as Director.

5. G.O. No.996-7/C.B. 67-35-2 dated 30th October 1935 directing that Dr.C.V.Natarajan

be confirmed in the grade Rs.400-50/2-700 with effect from 23rd September 1935.

6. Letter No.226/GAD. dated 21st November 1935 from the Comptroller to Government stating that, for reasons mentioned, the initial pay of Dr. Natarajan in the revised grade Rs. 400-50/2-700 would be fixed at Rs.450/- from the date of confirmation in the grade, viz., 23rd September 1935.

7. Letter No. C.801-4 dated 4th December 1935 from the Director of Public Health in Mysore forwarding for favourable consideration a representation from Dr. Natarajan urging that the Audit Office allowed him to draw emoluments, as acting Superintendent, Public Health Institute, at a lower rate than that admissible under the rules and requesting that the deficit may be made good to him and his pay subsequent to the date of his confirmation as Superintendent, Public Health Institute (viz., 15th March 1934) may be suitably enhanced.

Order No. 2179-80/CS67-35-4

Dated, Bangalore the 8/4/ 1936.

~~The emoluments of Dr.C.V.Natarajan as~~ acting Superintendent, Public Health Institute from 1st April 1930 to ~~14th~~ March 1934 had to ~~be fixed~~ in accordance with the direction conveyed in G.O. dated 11th June 1930 read above. ~~From the particulars mentioned in the representation of Dr. Natarajan and verified by the Comptroller, it is found that the Audit officer authorised the officer to draw emoluments during the period as under:-~~

It appears from the information received from the Comptroller

that the allowance of Rs.80 sanctioned to Dr. Natarajan in the G.O. of 11th June 1930 read above was not treated consistently either as a fixed one or as an acting allowance subject to increase with each increment earned in the acting appointment. ~~It was raised to Rs.86/- while his substantive pay~~ (in the grade Rs.200-20-400) was Rs.280 and 300, was then reduced to Rs.80/- when his pay increased to Rs.320, and still further reduced to Rs.60 when his pay rose to Rs.340. Dr.Natarajan claims that the allowance should not have been reduced and that if it had been left at Rs.80/-, his initial pay in the present grade (Rs 400-50/2-700) would have been higher ~~and requests that the amount he has lost be made good to him and his pay in the grade be enhanced.~~

2. It is not clear that the allowance of Rs.80 was intended to be other than fixed, and the audit office should have referred the case for orders before raising and reducing the allowance. ~~What view would have been taken if such a reference had been made cannot now be stated.~~

There has been long delay on the part of the officer also in submitting his representation. ~~and it is not now possible to make amends retrospectively as prayed for by him.~~ The case, however, calls for some consideration.

3. The increment raising the officer's pay in the grade Rs.400-50/2-700 to Rs.450 is in the ordinary course due on 28th May 1937. In view of the facts stated above, and his having drawn Rs.400 from 15th March 1934 for over a year before being given the grade Rs.400-50/2-700, Government are pleased to direct that he be allowed to draw his first increment in the grade raising his

pay to Rs. 450, six months earlier ie., from 28th November 1936.

4/4

Gen. Secy. to Govt.

To

The Comptroller to Govt , through F.D.
" Director of Health in Mysore.

Copy to G.M.Branch. — Sent.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:--

Government Order No.(Camp) 5-6/Sany.91-29-18 dated 11th June 1930 directing that Dr. Natarajan be treated as acting Superintendent, Public Health Institute, and granted an acting allowance of Rs.80/- per mensem in addition to his pay in the grade Rs.200-20-400.

2. Government Notification No. G.6321/P.H.14-33-21 dated 15th March 1934 confirming Dr. Natarajan as Superintendent, Public Health Institute and Chemical Examiner to Government, and Memo No.G.6322 of the same date to the Comptroller intimating that Dr. Natarajan will in that office be permitted to draw a consolidated pay of Rs.400/- per mensem.

3. Govt. Order No. G.921-2/P.H.22-34-17 dated 2nd August 1935 directing that Dr. Natarajan be treated as having a substantive lien on a post in the grade 200-400 on a permanent pay of Rs.400/- and as acting in the higher grade of Rs.400-50/2-700 vice Mr. P. Partha-sarathi on special duty and later on acting as Director.

4. Govt. Order No.996-7/C.B. 67-35-2 dated 31st October 1935 directing that Dr. C. V. Natarajan be confirmed in the grade -- Rs.400-50/2-700 with effect from 23rd September 1935.

5. Letter No.226/GAD dated 21st November 1935 from the Comptroller to Government stating that, for reasons mentioned, the initial pay of Dr. Natarajan in the revised grade Rs.400-50/2-700 would be fixed at Rs.450/- from the date of confirmation in the grade, viz., 23rd September 1935.

6. Letter No. C.801-4 dated 4th December 1935 from the Director of Public Health in Mysore forwarding for favourable consideration a representation from Dr. Nataraja urging that the Audit Office allowed him to draw emoluments as acting Superintendent, Public Health Institute,

P. T. O.

at a lower rate than that admissible under the rules and requesting that the deficit may be made good to him and his pay subsequent to the date of his confirmation as Superintendent, Public Health Institute (viz., 15th March 1934) may be suitably enhanced.

ORDER No. 2179-80/C.S.67-33-4

Dated, Bangalore, the 8th April 1936.

It appears from the information received from the Comptroller that the allowance of Rs.80 sanctioned to Dr. Natarajan in the Govt. Order of 11th June 1930 read above was not treated consistently either as a fixed one or as an acting allowance subject to increase with each increment earned in the acting appointment. Dr.Natarajan claims that the allowance should not have been reduced and that if it had been left at Rs.80/-, his initial pay in the present grade (Rs.400-50/2-700) would have been higher.

2. It is not clear that the allowance of Rs.80 was intended to be other than fixed, and the audit office should have referred the case for orders before raising and reducing the allowance. There has been long delay on the part of the officer also in submitting his representation. The case, however, calls for some consideration.

3. The increment raising the officer's pay in the grade Rs.400-50/2-700 to Rs.450 is in the ordinary course due on 28th May 1937. In view of the facts stated above, and his having drawn Rs.400 from 15th March 1934 for over a year before being given the grade Rs.400-50/2-700, Government are pleased to direct that he be allowed to draw his first increment in the grade raising his pay to Rs.450/- six months earlier, i.e., from 28th November 1936.

for General Secretary to Government.

To

The Comptroller to Govt., through Financial Department.
" Director of Health in Mysore.